

ATTACHMENT 4

POOL SCORING ILLUSTRATION

ASSUMING TOTAL AVAILABLE BOARD POINTS OF 400 AND TOTAL
AVAILABLE TECHNICAL/FEE SCORE OF 400
ASSUMING 40 BIDDERS USING APPORTIONMENT METHOD

	CANDIDATE 1	CANDIDATE 2	CANDIDATE 3	CANDIDATE 4	CANDIDATE 10	CANDIDATE 40
Tech Score	300	210	200	300	300	300
Fee Score	100	70	---	50	100	100
Board Score	1 (400 pts)	2 (390 pts)	---	---	10 (310 pts)	40 (10 pts)
Total Score	800	670	---	---	710	440

The finalists must pass Technical and Fee Phases with a minimum score of 70% for each phase to move into the Board interview phase. During the Board interview, the Board will rank all finalists, regardless of the number of finalists. Staff will take the Board ranking and utilize the apportionment method to assign points to each of the Board ranked finalists. In this example 400 points divided by 40 finalists makes the differential reduction 10 points between bidders. The technical, fee and Board points will then be combined resulting in a final ranking. The proposals with the highest scores will be awarded a pool contract.

Pool Finalists: Pass/Fail and Apportionment Method

Candidate 1 – highest technical passing score; highest fee score; Rank 1 on Board interview, total score of 800

Candidate 2 – lowest passing technical score, lowest passing fee score, Rank 2 on Board interview, total score of 670

Candidate 3 – did not pass technical score; not allowed to continue

Candidate 4 –highest passing technical score; did not pass fee score; not allowed to continue

Candidate 10 – highest passing technical score; highest passing fee score; Rank 10 on Board interview, total score of 710

Candidate 40 – highest passing technical score; highest passing fee score; Rank 40 (last) on Board interview; total score of 440